# **REMARKS**

The following remarks are supplemental.

# The Rejections Under 35 C.F.R. §§ 102(a) and 103(a)

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as allegedly anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as allegedly obvious over each of four documents, i.e.,

- (1) WO 99/60151 to Kranic et al. (corresponding to U.S. Patent No. 6,365,382);
- (2) U.S. Patent No. 6,043,064 to Davis et al. (corresponding to Japanese Application No. 7-184670 acknowledged at page 2 of the present application);
- (3) U.S. Patent 5,942,423 to Demain et al.; and
- (4) Okazaki et al., J. Antibiot. 36: 1176-1183, 1983.

The Examiner is maintaining these rejections because although the Examiner considered the arguments in the Amendment filed February 9, 2004 that there are differences between microorganisms of the art (ATCC 19795, ATCC 35024, Actinomadura, Nocarda autotrophica) and the claimed microorganism Rhodococcus rhodochrous ATCC 21430, the Examiner asserts that (1) the pending claims are not directed only to Rhodococcus rhodochrous ATCC 21430 so that the claims are not specific as to the strains used, and (2) the differences between this strain and microorganisms having no ability to sporulate and showing no hyphal growth in a culture broth cannot be easily assessed.

In response to this rejection, Applicants respectfully point out that Kranjc merely discloses the strain *Amycolatopsis orientalis* ATCC 19795, which is disclosed in Kranjc to be the producer of the antibiotic vancomycin. The document does not disclose or provide any guidance to teach or suggest producing the compounds of the present invention using a microorganism selected from the group of those belonging to the genus *Mycobacterium*, *Corynebacterium*,

Brevibacterium, Rhodococcus, Gordonia, Arthrobacter, Micrococcus, Cellulomonas and Sphingomonas. Furthermore, even if these microorganisms and Amycolatopsis orientalis are reclassified as Nocardia, as asserted by the Examiner, they are not the same, and Kranjc fails to provide any teaching or suggestion that they are identical. Applicants submit the abstract of Stackebrandt et al., Reclassification of Amycolaptosis orientalis subsp. lurida Lechevalier et al. 1986 as Amycolaptosis lurida sp. nov., comb. nov., Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54: 267-268 (2004) (copy enclosed) which discloses that even within the species of A. orientalis, there are significant differences from other species of the genus in genomic and metabolic properties that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the compounds of the present invention using the claimed microorganisms.

Applicants further submit the abstract of Fiss E. and G.F. Brooks, Use of a siderophore detection medium, ethylene glycol degradation, and beta-galactosidase activity in the early presumptive differentiation of Nocardia, Rhodococcus, Streptomyces, and rapidly growing Mycobacterium species, J. Clin. Microbiol. 29(7): 1533-1535 (1991) (copy enclosed), which discloses that *Nocardia* sp. is different from *Rhodococcus* sp. in beta-galactosidase activity and ethylene glycol degradation activity, and that *Nocardia* can be differentiated from *Rhodococcus* by testing these activities. Even if the microorganisms are closely related, as asserted by the Examiner (which Applicants do not submit is the case), it is clear from the Fiss reference that *Rhodococcus* and *Nocardia* are different in their activities. Therefore, even though *Rhodococcus* is able to produce the claimed compounds, it would not have been obvious to one of skill in the art that the claimed compound could be produced by *Nocardia* because *Nocardia* has different

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with M.P.E.P. 609C(3), the documents cited above and below in support of Applicants' remarks are being submitted as evidence directed to an issue raised in the mentioned Official Action, and no additional fee or Certification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.97 and 1.98, or citation on a FORM PTO-1449 is believed to be necessary.

abilities from *Rhodococcus*. Due to the significant differences between microorganisms, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the compounds of the present invention using the claimed microorganisms, in fact, there would have been no motivation to do so.

Similarly, the Davis and Demain documents disclose different species or genera of microorganisms. Davis discloses a genera of microorganisms including *Nocardia* and discloses at most species including *Amycolata autotrophica*, *Streptomyces californicus*, *Amycolatopsis mediterranei*, *Saccharothrix australensis*, *Gilbertella persicaria*, and *Saccharapolyspora erythraea*. Demain discloses a method of converting compactin to pravastatin using a newly isolated strain belonging to the genus *Actinomadura*. Neither Davis nor Demain discloses or provides any guidance to teach or suggest how to make the compounds of the present invention using a claimed microorganism selected from the group of those belonging to the genus *Mycobacterium*, *Corynebacterium*, *Brevibacterium*, *Rhodococcus*, *Gordonia*, *Arthrobacter*, *Micrococcus*, *Cellulomonas* and *Sphingomonas*.

Because of the differences between the microorganisms, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the compounds of the present invention using the claimed microorganisms. Also, as the Examiner herself has established, there are 237 entries for *Nocardia* found on the ATCC database. It would require great experimentation for one of skill in the art to test each of the 237 entries to arrive at the present invention.

At best, this grounds of rejection is based on the impermissible standard that it would be "obvious to try" all of the potential each of the entries to see if the compounds of the compounds of the present invention could be made (which applicants note has not been established).

However, a rejection based on the premise that it would be obvious to try a particular compound

or substitution is legally incorrect. Under longstanding case law "obvious to try" is impermissible. In re Tomlinson, 150 U.S.P.Q. 623 (CCPA 1966); Ex parte Argabright et al., 161 U.S.P.Q. 703 (POBA 1967); In re Mercier, 185 U.S.P.Q. 774 (CCPA 1975); In re Goodwin, 198 U.S.P.Q. 1 (CCPA 1978); In re O'Farrell, 7 U.S.P.Q 2d 1673 (Fed. Cir. 1988). As Judge Rich explained, in distinguishing the facts in O'Farrell from the classic "obvious to try" cases:

...In some cases, what would have been "obvious to try" would have been to vary all parameters or try each of numerous possible choices until one possibly arrived at a successful result, where the prior art gave either no indication of which parameters were critical or no direction as to which of many possible choices is likely to be successful...

...In [other cases] what was "obvious to try" was to explore a new technology or general approach that seemed to be a promising field of experimentation, where the prior art gave only general guidance as to the particular form of the claimed invention or how to achieve it...(O'Farrell, at 1681).

In addition, Okazaki merely describes the taxonomical properties of three Actinomycetes belonging to the genus *Nocardia* that is capable of 3β-hydroxylation, *Nocardia autotrophica* and the two subspecies *N. canberrica* and *N. amethystina*. Okazaki does not disclose, nor is there any guidance in the document to teach or suggest making the compounds of the present invention using a claimed microorganism selected from the group of those belonging to the genus *Mycobacterium*, *Corynebacterium*, *Brevibacterium*, *Rhodococcus*, *Gordonia*, *Arthrobacter*, *Micrococcus*, *Cellulomonas* and *Sphingomonas*.

At most, the combination of these documents would provide hydroxylation of compounds using microorganisms selected from *Amycolatopsis orientalis* ATCC 19795, *Amycolata autotrophica*, *Streptomyces californicus*, *Amycolatopsis mediterranei*, *Saccharothrix* 

P21252.A09

australensis, Gilbertella persicaria, Saccharapolyspora erythraea, the genus Actinomadura, and

the strain Nocardia autotrophica.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that there is nothing in the cited documents,

either alone or in combination thereof, that teaches or suggests the claimed invention of using a

claimed microorganism selected from the group of those belonging to the genus Mycobacterium,

Corynebacterium, Brevibacterium, Rhodococcus, Gordonia, Arthrobacter, Micrococcus,

Cellulomonas and Sphingomonas...

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner reconsider and

withdraw the foregoing rejections.

Respectfully submitted,

Shin-ichi-HASHIMOTO et al.

Bruce H. Bernstein

Reg. No. 29,027

November 8, 2004

GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.

1950 Roland Clarke Place

Reston, VA 20191

(703) 716-1191

6

[advanced]

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology.

೧88
288
2 :
200
ļ

Keyword(s): Go

HOME HELP FEEDBACK SUBSCRIPTIONS ARCHIVE SEARCH TABLE OF CONTENTS

Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54 (2004), 267-268; DOI 10.1099/ijs.0.02937-0 © 2004 International Union of Microbiological Societies

Reclassification of Amycolatopsis orientalis subsp. lurida Lechevalier et al. 1986 as Amycolatopsis lurida sp. nov., comb. nov.

Erko Stackebrandt<sup>1</sup>, Reiner M. Kroppenstedt<sup>1</sup>, Joachim Wink<sup>2</sup> and Peter Schumann<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> DSMZ Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH, Mascheroder Weg 1b, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Aventis Pharma Deutschland GmbH, Drug Innovation and Approval, Natural Products, 65926 Frankfurt, Germany

# Correspondence

Erko Stackebrandt erko@dsmz.de

## This Article

- Full Text
- Full Text (PDF)
- Alert me when this article is cited
- Alert me if a correction is posted

## Services

- Email this article to a friend
- Similar articles in this journal
- Similar articles in PubMed
- Alert me to new issues of the journal
- Download to citation manager

### PubMed

- PubMed Citation
- \* Articles by Stackebrandt, E.
- Articles by Schumann, P.

- Articles by Stackebrandt, E.
- Articles by Schumann, P.

Amycolatopsis orientalis subsp. lurida DSM 43134<sup>T</sup> differs significantly from the type strain of A. orientalis, A. orientalis subsp. orientalis DSM 40040<sup>T</sup>, and from other species of the genus in genomic and metabolic properties. Its elevation to species status as Amycolatopsis lurida sp. nov., comb. nov. is justified.

HOME HELP FEEDBACK SUBSCRIPTIONS INT J SYST EVOL MICROBIOL MICROBIOLOGY J GEN VIROL J MED MICROBIOL ALL SGM JOURNALS

Copyright © 2004 by the International Union of Microbiological Societies.

Results of your search: 1 and 2
Result displayed: 24 of 40
Go to Result: 24

Results Manager • Help • Logoff

Citation 24.

Link to ... Complete Reference

Unique Identifier 1832172

**Authors** 

Fiss E. Brooks GF.

Institution

Department of Laboratory, University of California, San Francisco 94143.

Title

Use of a siderophore detection medium, ethylene glycol degradation, and beta-galactosidase activity in the early presumptive differentiation of Nocardia, Rhodococcus, Streptomyces, and rapidly growing Mycobacterium species.

Source

Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 29(7):1533-5, 1991 Jul.

NOV 0 9 200

**Abstract** 

A simplified scheme for the presumptive early identification of Nocardia, Rhodococcus, rapidly growing Mycobacterium, and Streptomyces species is presented. The Nocardia and Streptomyces spp. and the Mycobacterium spp. were positive. The spp. were positive for siderophore activity, but only 25% of the Rhodococcus spp. were positive. The Rhodococcus and Mycobacterium spp. were negative for beta-galactosidase, while the Nocardia and Streptomyces spp. were positive. The Nocardia and Streptomyces spp. and the Mycobacterium spp. were negative for ethylene glycol degradation, while 75% of the Rhodococcus spp. were positive. In combination, these tests were useful for differentiating Mycobacterium, Rhodococcus, and Nocardia species but did not differentiate Nocardia from Streptomyces species.



Results Manager: Display, Print, Save, or Email Results 21

Results	Fields	Result Format	Action
© Selected Results All on this page All in this set (1-40) and/or Range:	<ul> <li>○ Citation (Title, Author, Source)</li> <li>○ Citation + Abstract</li> <li>○ Citation + Abstract + Subject Headings</li> <li>○ Complete Reference</li> </ul>	Ovid  BRS/Tagged  Reprint/Medlars  Brief (Titles) Display  Direct Export	
	Sheek Warra	Include Search History	
	Sort Keys		_
Primary: - Secondary: -	Ascending Ascending Ascending		

Copyright (c) 2000-2004 Ovid Technologies, Inc. Version; rel9.1.0, SourceID 1.9087.1.443.1.123